

If you ask a group of self-professing Christians to define the gospel, you will likely get a myriad of different answers. When pressed to define the core of what we believe about Jesus, we often drift into a mumble of spiritual sounding technicalities and nervous "Well...you knows." Is this a problem? Can we define the gospel however we'd like? Is it okay for us to wander into wishy-washy sentimentality about exactly what the gospel is? Or to ask it another way, is it important to accurately define the gospel? Yes. We believe it is, and Paul tells us why.

we'd like? Is it okay for us to wander into wishy-washy sentimentality about exactly what the gospel is? Or to ask it another way, is it important to accurately define the gospel? Yes. We believe it is, and Paul tells us why.	
Precision in t	THE GOSPEL
Paul points to the	message he preached.
Paul points to the	of the message he preached.
Objectivity in	THE GOSPEL
The gospel is not primarily about something you	
The gospel is primarily about something has	
Enough in thi	e Gospel
The gospel alone. It nee	eds nothing to it.
The gospel defies worldly	It is God's chosen plan.
Substitution for the Gospel	
GODYOU G	OD IS YOUR
GOD IS G	OD MUST BE